arXiv:1405.7167v1 [math.DS] 28 May 2014

On the number of parameters c for which the point $x = 0$ is a superstable periodic point of $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$

Bau-Sen Du
Institute of Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Taipei 10617, Taiwan
dubs@math.sinica.edu.tw

Abstract

Let $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$ be a one-parameter family of real continuous maps with parameter $c \geq 0$. For every positive integer n, let N_n denote the number of parameters c such that the point $x = 0$ is a (superstable) periodic point of $f_c(x)$ whose least period divides n (in particular, $f_c^n(0) = 0$). In this note, we find a recursive way to depict how *some* of these parameters c appear in the interval $[0, 2]$ and show that $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(\log N_n)/n \geq \log 2$ and this result is generalized to a class of one-parameter families of continuous real-valued maps that includes the family $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$.

Keywords: Bubbles, point bifurcations, (superstable) periodic points, Implicit function theorem

AMS Subject Classification: 37E05; 37G15; 58F20

The one-parameter family of logistic maps $q_\lambda(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$ (which is topologically conjugate to the family $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$) has been used by Verhulst to model population growth and has many applications in modern mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, economics and sociology [**1**]. It is well-known that [**3, 4, 8**] the periodic points of this family are born through either period-doubling bifurcations or saddle-node (tangent) bifurcations and the computation of the exact bifurcation points of this family is a formidable task [**10**]. On the other hand, the (least) periods of the first appearance of these periodic points follow the Sharkovsky ordering [**17**]. However, it is not clear how the periods of the *latter* periodic points appear except through the symbolic MSS sequences of the superstable periodic points as introduced in [**15**]. In this note, we present a more intuitive and quantitative interpretation of this.

Let $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$ be a one-parameter family of continuous maps from the real line into itself with c as the parameter. By solving the equation $f_c(x) = x$, we obtain that $x_\pm(c) = (-1 \pm \sqrt{4c + 1})/(2c)$. When $c > -1/4$, $f_c(x)$ has two distinct fixed points and these fixed points are born

(through tagent bifurcation) from nowhere at $c = -1/4$. Note that the fixed points $x_-(c)$ of $f_c(x)$ is stable for $-1/4 < c < 3/4$ because for c in this range we have $|f_c'(x_-)| < 1$. So, when the fixed points of $f_c(x)$ are born, one of them is stable for a while.

We next solve the equation $f_c^2(x) = x$. This equation is a polynomial equation of degree 4 whose solutions contain fixed points of $f_c(x)$. So, the quadratic polynomial $1 - cx^2 - x$ must be a factor of $x - f_c^2(x)$. By solving $x = f_c^2(x) = 1 - c(1 - cx^2)^2 = 1 - c(1 - cx^2 - x + x)^2$, we obtain $0 = (1 - cx^2 - x)[c^2x^2 - cx - (c - 1)]$. So, $x_\pm^*(c) = (1 \pm \sqrt{4c - 3})/(2c)$ are periodic points of $f_c(x)$ with least period 2 which must form a period-2 orbit of $f_c(x)$. This orbit exists for all $c > 3/4$ and is born at $c = 3/4$ from the fixed point $x = x_-(c)$ right after the stable fixed point $x_-(c)$ of $f_c(x)$ loses its stability. Furthermore, $|(f_c^2)'(x_\pm^*)| < 1$ when $3/4 < c < 5/4$. That is, the period 2 orbit $\{x_+^*(c), x_-^*(c)\}$ takes on the stability of the fixed points $x_-(c)$ right after it is born.

We now want to find the periodic points of $f_c(x)$ with least period 3. As above, we solve the equation $f_c^3(x) = x$. After some calculations, we obtain that $f_c^3(x) - x = (1 - x - cx^2)h(c, x)$, where $h(c, x) = c^6x^6 - c^5x^5 + (-3c^5 + c^4)x^4 + (2c^4 - c^3)x^3 + (3c^4 - c^3 + c^2)x^2 + (-c^3 + 2c^2 - c)x - c^3 + 2c^2 - c + 1$. So, for any fixed c, the real solutions (if any) of $h(c, x) = 0$ will be the periodic points of $f_c(x)$ with least period 3 (in particular, when $c_3 \approx 1.7549$ is the unique positive zero of the polynomial $x^3 - 2x^2 + x - 1$, the point $x = 0$ is a period-3 point of $f_{c_3}(x)$). But $h(c, x)$ is a polynomial in x (with c fixed) of degree 6. It is almost impossible to solve it as we did above for fixed points and period-2 points. Fortunately, with the help of Implicit Function Theorem, at least we can find when these period-3 orbits are born **[2, 5, 12, 14, 16]** and exist for how long **[9]**. By solving the equations $h(c, x) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h(c, x) = 0$ simultaneously, we obtain that $c = 7/4$ and $h(7/4, x) = (1/64)^2[343x^3 - 98x^2 - 252x + 8)]^2$. Conversely, if $h(7/4, x) = (1/64)^2[343x^3 - 98x^2 - 252x + 8)]^2$, then $h(7/4, x)$ has three distinct real zeros and for each of such real zero x, we have $h(7/4, x) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h(7/4, x) = 0$. Since there are 3 changes in signs of the coefficients of $h(2, x)$. So, $h(2, x) = 0$ has at least one and hence 6 real solutions. By Implicit Function Theorem, we can continue each of these 6 solutions further from $c = 2$ as long as $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h(c, x) \neq 0$ and this inequality holds as long as $c > 7/4$. Again, by Implicit Function Theorem, since $h(0, x)(\equiv 1)$ has no real zeros, $h(c, x) = 0$ has no real solutions for any $0 \leq c < 7/4$. Therefore, the period-3 orbits of $f_c(x)$ are born at $c = 7/4$ and exist for all $c \geq 7/4$.

In theory, we can proceed as above to find the bifurcations of periodic orbits of periods $m \geq 4$. However, in practice, it becomes more and more difficult as the degree of $f_c^n(x)$ which is 2^n grows exponentially fast. Surprisingly, by extending an idea of Lanford **[11]**, we can show that the number of parameters c such that the point $x = 0$ is a periodic point of f_c with some period grows exponentially fast with its periods. Indeed, let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the real line and let $\mathcal{X}$ be the class of all continuous maps $\phi_c(x) = \phi(c, x) : [0, 2] \times \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ considered as one-parametr families of continuous maps from $\mathcal{R}$ into itself with parameter $c \in [0, 2]$ such that

(a) $\phi_c(0) > 0$ for all $0 \leq c \leq 2$;

(b) there exists a *smallest* integer $r \geq 2$ such that $\phi_{\hat{c}}^r(0) = 0$ for some parameter $0 \leq \hat{c} < 2$; and

(c) $\phi_2^n(0) < 0$ for all integers $n \geq 2$.

It is clear that the family $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$ is a member of $\mathcal{X}$ since when $r = 2$ and $c = 1$, $\{0, 1\}$ is a period-2 orbit of $f_1(x) = 1 - x^2$ and $f_2^n(-1) = -1 < 0$ for all integers $n \geq 2$. Now let $\Phi_n(c) = \phi_c^n(0)$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ and all $0 \leq c \leq 2$. Then, for each integer $n \geq 1$, $\Phi_n(c)$ is a continuous map from $[0, 2]$ into $\mathcal{R}$ and the solutions of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ are parameters c for which the point $x = 0$ is a periodic point of $\phi_c(x)$ whose least period divides n. For $\Phi_n(c)$, we have the following 4 properties:

(1) $\Phi_1(c) = \phi_c(0) > 0$ for all real numbers $0 \leq c \leq 2$, r is the *smallest* integer $n \geq 2$ such that $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ has a solution in $[0, 2)$ and $\Phi_n(2) < 0$ for all integers $n \geq 2$.

(2) If $\Phi_{n-1}(\hat{c}) = 0$ for some integer $n \geq r + 1$, then $\Phi_n(\hat{c}) = \phi_{\hat{c}}^n(0) = \phi_{\hat{c}}(\phi_{\hat{c}}^{n-1}) = \phi_{\hat{c}}(\Phi_{n-1}(\hat{c})) = \phi_{\hat{c}}(0) > 0$ and so, by (1), $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ has a solution in the interval $(\hat{c}, 2)$.

(3) For each integer $n \geq r + 1$, the largest solution of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ is larger than any solution of $\Phi_{n-1}(c) = 0$ and hence than any solution of $\Phi_m(c) = 0$ for any $r \leq m < n$. So, if c_n^* is the largest solution of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ in the interval $(0, 2)$, then the point $x = 0$ is a periodic point of $\phi_{c_n^*}(x)$ with least period n.

Proof. If c^* is the largest solution of $\Phi_{n-1}(c) = 0$, then since, by (2), $\Phi_n(c^*) > 0$ and by (1), $\Phi_n(2) < 0$, we obtain that $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ has a solution between c^* and 2. The desired result follows accordingly.

$(4)_{n,i}$ Let $n \geq 5$ and i be fixed integers such that $2 \leq i \leq n - 2$. If $\Phi_{n-1}(c_1) = 0$, $\Phi_{n-i}(c_2) = 0$, and c_2 *is larger than any solution* of $\Phi_i(c) = 0$, then there is a solution of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ between c_1 and c_2.

Proof. By (2), $\Phi_n(c_1) > 0$ and by definition, we have $\Phi_n(c_2) = \phi_{c_2}^n(0) = \phi_{c_2}^i(\phi_{c_2}^{n-i}(0)) = \phi_{c_2}^i(\Phi_{n-i}(c_2)) = \phi_{c_2}^i(0) = \Phi_i(c_2)$. Since c_2 is larger than any solution of $\Phi_i(c) = 0$ and $\Phi_i(2) < 0$, we see that $\Phi_n(c_2) = \Phi_i(c_2) < 0$. Thus, there is a solution of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ between c_1 and c_2.

Now since r is the *smallest* integer $n \geq 2$ such that the equation $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ has a solution in the interval $[0, 2]$, if $r \geq 3$ then the equation $\Phi_i(c) = 0$ has no solutions in $[0, 2]$ for each integer $2 \leq i < r$ and so, since $\Phi_n(2) < 0$ for all integer $n \geq 2$ by (1), we have $\Phi_i(c) < 0$ for each integer $2 \leq i < r$ and all $0 \leq c \leq 2$. This fact will be used below. Let $s = \max\{3, r\}$. For each integer $k \geq s$, let c_k^* denote the largest solution of $\Phi_k(c) = 0$. Then, by (3), $0 \leq c_r^* < c_{r+1}^* < \cdots < c_k^* < c_{k+1}^* < \cdots < 2$. For any integer $n \geq k + 2$, the equation $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ may have *more than* one solution in $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$. *In the sequel*, let c_n denote *any* solution of them. To distinquish them later, we shall let $[a : b]$ denote the closed interval with a and b as endpoints, where a and b are distinct real numbers. When there is no confusion occurs, we shall use the same c_n to denote several distinct solutions of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ in the real line. we now describe how to apply $(4)_{n,i}$ successively, in the interval $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$, to obtain solutions of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ with $n \geq k + 2$. The procedures are as follows:

(i) We start with the interval $[c_k, c_{k+1}] = [c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$.

(ii) The 1^{st} step is to apply $(4)_{k+2,2}$ to $[c_k, c_{k+1}]$ in (i) to obtain *one* c_{k+2} between c_k and c_{k+1} and so obtain the 2 intervals $[c_k, c_{k+2}]$ and $[c_{k+2}, c_{k+1}]$. Then the 2^{nd} step is to apply $(4)_{k+3,3}$ to $[c_k, c_{k+2}]$ to obtain the first c_{k+3} in (c_k, c_{k+2}) and apply $(4)_{k+3,2}$ to $[c_{k+2}, c_{k+1}]$ to obtain the second c_{k+3} in (c_{k+2}, c_{k+1}) and so, we obtain two parameters c_{k+3}'s in (c_k, c_{k+1}) which, together with the previously obtained one parameter c_{k+2}, divide the interval $[c_k, c_{k+1}]$ into 4 subintervals such that

$$c_k \quad < \quad \text{first } c_{k+3} \quad < \quad c_{k+2} \quad < \quad \text{second } c_{k+3} \quad < \quad c_{k+1}.$$

Similarly, the 3^{rd} step is to apply $(4)_{k+4,i}$ for appropriate $2 \le i \le 4$ to each of these 4 subintervals to obtain 4 parameters c_{k+4}'s which, together with the previously obtained c_{k+3}'s and c_{k+2}, divide the interval $[c_k, c_{k+1}]$ into 8 subintervals such that

$$c_k < \text{first } c_{k+4} < 1^{st}\, c_{k+3} < \text{second } c_{k+4} < c_{k+2} < \text{third } c_{k+4} < 2^{nd}\, c_{k+3} < \text{fourth } c_{k+4} < c_{k+1}.$$

We proceed in this manner indefinitely to obtain, at the i^{th} step, $i \ge 1$, several parameters c_{k+1+i}'s which are interspersed with parameters c_j's with *smaller* subscripts $k \le j \le k+i$ and note that each c_{k+1+i} is *ajacent* to a c_{k+i} on the one side and to a c_j with $k \le j < k+i$ on the other.

(iii) For any two ajacent parameters c_i and c_j in $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$ with $k \le i < j \le 2k-2$ (and so, $2 \le j+1-i \le k-1$), we apply $(4)_{j+1,j+1-i}$ to the interval $[c_i : c_j]$ to obtain one c_{j+1} between c_i and c_j. Consequently, inductively for each $2 \le \ell \le k-1$, we can find one parameter $c_{k+\ell}$ from each of the $2^{\ell-2}$ pairwise disjoint open components formed by the previously obtained c_{k+s}'s, $2 \le s \le \ell-1$ in the interval $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$. In particular, when $\ell = k-1$, we obtain 2^{k-3} parameters c_{2k-1}'s and 2^{k-2} such intervals $[c_i : c_{2k-1}]$, $k \le i \le 2k-2$, with mutually disjoint interiors. Now we apply appropriate $(4)_{2k,2k-i}$, $k+1 \le i \le 2k-2$, to each of the 2^{k-2} previously obtained intervals to obtain $2^{k-2}-1$ parameters c_{2k}'s (here the minus 1 is added because we do not have a parameter c_{2k} which is right *next* to c_k). In summary, so far, we have obtained, for each integer $k \le i \le 2k$, $a_{k,i}$ parameters c_{k+i} such that they are interspersed in a pattern similar to those depicted in (ii) above, where $a_{k,k} = 1 = a_{k,k+1}, a_{k,k+j} = 2^{j-2}, 2 \le j \le k-1$, and $a_{k,2k} = 2^{k-2}-1$.

(iv) For each integer $m > 2k$, let $a_{k,m} = \sum_{1 \le i \le k-1} a_{k,m-i}$. Then it follows from **[18]** that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \log a_{k,m} = \log \alpha_k$, where α_k is the (unique) positive (and largest in absolute value) zero of the polynomial $x^{k-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} x^i$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_k = 2$. We now continue the above procedures by applying $(4)_{n,i}$ with appropriate n and i to each appropriate interval $[c_s, c_t]$ as we do in (ii) and (iii) above (see Figure 1). We want to show that, for each integer $m > 2k$, there are at least $a_{k,m}$ distinct parameters c_m's in $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$ which are obtained in this way. Indeed, given $m > 2k$, let c_{m-k} $(= c_{k+1+(m-2k-1)})$ be *any* parameter which is obtained at the $(m-2k-1)^{st}$ step and let c_j be a parameter among all parameters c_s with smaller subscripts $k \le s < m-k$ which is *next* to c_{m-k} (on either side of c_{m-k}). Recall that at least one such c_j is c_{m-k-1}. If $m-k-j \ge k$, then we ignore this c_{m-k} because no appropriate $(4)_{n,i}$ can be applied to $[c_{m-k} : c_j]$ $(\subset [c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*])$ to obtain *one* c_{m-k+1}. Otherwise, we apply $(4)_{m-k+1,m-k+1-j}$ to $[c_{m-k} : c_j]$ to obtain a parameter c_{m-k+1} between c_{m-k} and c_j and apply $(4)_{n,i}$ with appropriate i to $[c_{m-k} : c_{m-k+1}]$ successively as n increases from $m-k+2$ to m until we obtain

several c_m's interspersing with parameters c_t with smaller subscripts $m-k \le t < m$ which are obtained earlier in $[c_{m-k} : c_j]$. It is clear that there is a parameter c_m which is *next* to c_{m-k}, but none is *next* to c_j. Therefore, in the interval $[c_{m-k} : c_j]$, there are as many parameters c_m's as the sum of all parameters c_r's with integers r in $[m-k+2, m-1]$. We conclude that, altogether, there are at least $a_{k,m}$ distinct parameters c_m's in $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$ such that the point $x = 0$ is a periodic point of $\phi_{c_m}(x)$ whose least period divides m.



Figure 1: Distribution of the parameters c_n's in the intervals $[c_3^*, c_4^*], [c_4^*, c_5^*]$ and $[c_5^*, c_6^*]$ for n up to 12. For simplicity, we surpress the letter c and write only the subscript n. So, every positive integer n here is only a symbol representing a parameter c_n.

In particular, for each integer $n \ge \hat{r} = \max\{3, r\}$, we have found at least $a_{k,n}$ distinct solutions of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ in $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$ for each $\hat{r} \le k \le n-1$. So, totally, we have found at least $N_n = \sum_{k=\hat{r}}^{n-1} a_{k,n}$ distinct solutions of $\Phi_n(c) = 0$ in $[c_{\hat{r}}^*, c_n^*]$ $(\subset [0, 2])$. That is, there are at least N_n distinct parameters c such that $x = 0$ is a periodic point of $\phi_c(x)$ whose least period divides n. Therefore, since $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(\log N_n)/n \ge \sup_{k\ge\hat{r}}\big(\lim_{n\to\infty}(\log a_{k,n})/n\big) = \log 2$, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the set of all real numbers and let $\phi(c,x) : [0,2] \times \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a continuous map. Write $\phi_c(x) = \phi(c,x)$ and consider $\phi_c(x)$ as a one-parameter family of continuous real-valued maps with c as the parameter. Assume that*

(a) *$\phi_c(0) > 0$ for all $0 \le c \le 2$;*

(b) *there exists a smallest integer $r \ge 2$ such that $\phi_{\hat{c}}^r(0) = 0$ for some parameter $0 \le \hat{c} < 2$; and*

(c) *$\phi_2^n(0) < 0$ for all integers $n \ge 2$.*

Let $\hat{r} = \max\{3, r\}$ and, for each integer $n \ge 2$, let $\Phi_n(c) = \phi_c^n(0)$. For each integer $\ell \ge r$, let c_ℓ^* be the largest solution of the equation $\Phi_\ell(c) = 0$ and, for each integer $k \ge \hat{r}$, let $a_{k,k} = 1 = a_{k,k+1}$, $a_{k,k+i} = 2^{i-2}$, $2 \le i \le k-1$, $a_{k,2k} = 2^{k-2} - 1$, and, for each integer $j > 2k$, let $a_{k,j} = \sum_{1 \le i \le k-1} a_{k,j-i}$. Also, let $N_{\hat{r}} = N_{\hat{r}+1} = 1$ and $N_m = \sum_{k=\hat{r}}^{m-1} a_{k,m}$ for all integers $m \ge \hat{r} + 2$. Then the following hold:

(1) *$0 \le c_r^* < c_{r+1}^* < c_{r+2}^* < \cdots < 2$;*

(2) *Let $k \ge \hat{r}$ be a fixed integer. Then, for each integer $i \ge 0$, there are at least $a_{k,k+i}$ distinct parameters c_{k+i}'s in the interval $[c_k^*, c_{k+1}^*]$ such that $\phi_{c_{k+i}}^{k+i}(0) = 0$.*

(3) *For each integer $n \ge \hat{r}$, there are at least N_n distinct parameters c's such that the point $x = 0$ is a periodic point of $\phi_c(x)$ whose least period divides n and $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(\log N_n)/n \ge \log 2$.*

Remarks. (1) For the family $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$ with parameter $0 \le c \le 2$, it is well-known that $c_2^* = 1$ and $c_3^* \approx 1.7549$ is the unique positive zero of the polynomial $c^3 - 2c^2 + c - 1$. However, it is also well-known that there is an additional parameter $c_4 \approx 1.3107$ which is a zero of the polynomial $-c^7 + 4c^6 - 6c^5 + 6c^4 - 5c^3 + 2c^2 - c + 1 = 1 - c[1 - c(1-c)^2]^2$. Since $c_2^* < c_4 < c_3^*$, we can apply $(4)_{n,2}$ to the interval $[c_4, c_3^*]$ successively to obtain additional parameters $c_n, n \ge 5$ which are not counted in Theorem 1 such that

$$1 = c_2^* < c_4 < \cdots < c_{2i} < c_{2i+2} < \cdots < c_{2j+1} < c_{2j-1} < \cdots < c_5 < c_3^*.$$

(2) For the family $f_c(x) = 1 - cx^2$ with parameter $c \ge 0$, it is generally believed that once a periodic orbit is born it lives forever. However, this phenomenon does not shared by some other families of polynomials. For example: let $g_c(x) = \sqrt{7} - c(1 + x^2)$ and $h_c(x) = x^3 - 2x + c$. These two families have phenomena called bubbles (periodic orbits live for a finite time) and point bifurcations (periodic orbits die on birth). See **[7, 13]** for details.

References

[1] M. Ausloos and M. Dirickx (Eds.), The logistic map and the route to chaos: From the beginning to modern applications, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2006.

[2] J. Bechhoefer, The birth of period 3, revisited, *Math. Mag.* **69**(1996), 115-118.

[3] L. Block and W. Coppel, Dynamics in One Dimension, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1513, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[4] R. L. Devaney, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems,* 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA., 1989.

[5] B.-S. Du, Period 3 bifurcation for the logistic mapping, IMA Preprint Series # 7, Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications, University of Minnesota, 1982.

[6] B.-S, Du, Point bifurcations for some one-parameter families of interval maps, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 21(1993), 187-202.

[7] B.-S. Du, Point Bifurcations and bubbles for some one-parameter families of quadratic polynomials, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **25**(1997), 1-9.

[8] S. N. Elaydi, *Discrete chaos,* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL., 2000.

[9] W. B. Gordon, Period three trojectories of the logistic map, *Math. Mag.* **69**(1996), 118-120.

[10] I. S. Kotsireas and K. Karamanos, Exact computation of the bifurcation point B_4 of the logistic map and the Bailey-Broadhurst conjectures, *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **14**(2004), 2417-2423.

[11] O. E. Lanford III, Smooth transformations of intervals, *Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1980/81*, pp. 36-54, Lecture Notes in Math.,**901**,*Springer, Berlin*, 1981.

[12] M.-C. Li, Period three orbits for the quadratic family, *Far East J. Dyn. Syst.* **2**(2000), 99-105.

[13] M.-C. Li, Point bifurcations and bubbles for a cubic family, *J. Diff. Eqs. Appl.* **9**(2003), 553-558.

[14] M. Misiurewicz, Absolutely continuous measures for certain maps of an interval, *Publ. Math. IHES* **53**(1981), 17-51.

[15] N. Metropolis, M. L. Stein and P. R. Stein, On finite limit sets for transformation on the unit interval, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **15** (1973), 25-44.

[16] P. Saha and S. H. Strogatz, The birth of period 3, *Math. Mag.* **68**(1995), 42-47.

[17] A. N. Sharkovsky, Coexistence of cycles of a continuous map of a line into itself, *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **16** (1964), 61-71 (Russian); English translation *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **5**(1995), 1263-1273.

[18] D. A. Wolfram, Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **36**(1998), 129-145.